July 9, 2002

Re: Medical Dispute Resolution

MDR #: M2-02-0685-01

IRO Certificate No.: IRO 5055

Dear

___ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Rule 133.308 "Medical Dispute Resolution by an Independent Review Organization", effective January 1, 2002, allows an injured employee, a health care provider and an insurance carrier to appeal an adverse determination by requesting an independent review by an IRO.

In accordance with the requirement for TWCC to randomly assign cases to IROs, TWCC assigned your case to ___ for an independent review. ___ has performed an independent review of the medical records to determine medical necessity. In performing this review, ___ reviewed relevant medical records, any documents provided by the parties referenced above, and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the dispute.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating physician. Your case was reviewed by a physician reviewer who is Board Certified in Emergency Medicine with a Subspecialty Board Certified in Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine.

THE PHYSICIAN REVIEWER OF THIS CASE AGREES WITH THE DETERMINATION MADE BY THE INSURANCE CARRIER ON THIS CASE. The reviewer states that no clinical studies or reports have been conducted to support the use of hypebaric oxygen treatment for this condition.

I am the Secretary and General Counsel of ___ and I certify that the reviewing physician in this case has certified to our organization that there are no known conflicts of interest that exist between him and any of the treating physicians or other health care providers or any of the physicians or other health care providers who reviewed this case for determination prior to referral to the Independent Review Organization.

We are forwarding herewith a copy of the referenced Medical Case Review with reviewer's name redacted. We are simultaneously forwarding copies to the patient, the payor, and the Texas Workers' Compensation

Commission. This decision by ___ is deemed to be a Commission decision and order.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of this decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within ten (10) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within twenty (20) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This Decision is deemed received by you five (5) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5 (d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings Texas Workers' Compensation Commission P.O. Box 40669 Austin, TX 78704-0012

A copy of this decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute.

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on November 26, 2002.

Sincerely,

MEDICAL CASE REVIEW

This is for ____. I have reviewed the medical information forwarded to me concerning Case File #M2-02-0685-01, in the area of my specialty of Emergency Medicine with a subspecialty in Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine. The following documents were presented and reviewed:

A. MEDICAL INFORMATION REVIEWED:

The medical information reviewed is dated 7/2/02. This was a large folder with enclosures of:

- 1. Request for review of denial of hyperbaric oxygen treatments.
- 2. Correspondence.
- 3. Overview of diagnosis timetable.
- Overview of medical timetable.
- Equitest summary.
- 6. Equitest score evaluation.
- 7. Physicians' notes, 2000.
- 8. Nerve conduction study, 2000.
- 9. Physicians' notes, 1999.
- 10. Physical therapy notes, 1999.
- 11. Nerve conduction studies, 1999.
- 12. Physicians' notes, 1998.
- 13. Nerve conduction studies, 1998.
- 14. Physicians' notes, 1997.
- 15. Nerve conduction studies, 1997.
- 16. Physicians' notes, 1995.
- 17. Radiology reports, 1996.
- 18. Lab reports, 1996.
- 19. Pulmonary function tests, 1996.
- 20. Physicians' notes, 1995.

B. <u>BRIEF CLINICAL HISTORY</u>:

This patient had a motor vehicle accident on ____, and she is the claimant. She felt that she had respiratory exposure to the contents of the deployed airbag. Since that time, she has complained of multiple symptoms, including fatigue and short-term memory loss.

C. DISPUTED SERVICES:

It is my understanding that the physician caring for this patient requests additional hyperbaric oxygen therapy treatment but, at this time, there is a question as to whether this will be allowed by Texas Worker's Compensation, and this is the basis for their request for my review.

D. DECISION:

I AGREE WITH THE DETERMINATION MADE BY THE INSURANCE CARRIER IN THIS CASE.

E. RATIONALE OR BASIS FOR DECISION:

- 1. There are no randomized, double-blinded studies or substantial clinical studies to support the use of hyperbaric oxygen for this condition.
- 2. There is no support from the UHMS Committee Reports, 1999, to support the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy.

F. <u>DISCLAIMER</u>:

The opinions rendered in this case are the opinions of this evaluator. This medical evaluation has been conducted on the basis of the documentation as provided to me with the assumption that the material is true, complete and correct. If more information becomes available at a later date, then additional service, reports or consideration may be requested. Such information may or may not change the opinions rendered in this evaluation. My opinion is based on the clinical assessment from the documentation provided.

Date: 7/3/02